MINUTES OF THE 2ND ESSAC MEETING IN BREMEN, 16-17 MARCH 2004

General remarks: The agenda was too heavy to manage during the one day meeting. As a result, only a limited number of items were discussed among which the staffing of the North Atlantic as well as the nominations for the SAS panel structure. Other items were just discussed briefly and most were deferred to the next ESSAC Meeting (Aix en Provence, September 23 th -26th 2004). These minutes not only cover the discussions during the meeting in Bremen but were also updated with more recent developments. Finally, since staffing for FY04 and FY05 will continue through the next months we will soon brief you on the staffing deadlines for several drilling projects. We will have to deal with these electronically as we did with those for ACEX.

Furthermore, the latest non-riser expedition schedule is enclosed (ESSAC2Min Encl08A) and shows an APL has been scheduled that provides a berth for ONE ECORD scientist. ECORD may send one scientist to participate in this operation and the JOI/Alliance needs the nomination by the Friday, April 23! Please, make sure to submit nominations to the ESSAC Office before or on Thursday April 22.

Finally, OPCOM decided (and SPC recommended) that North Atlantic and Core Complex cruises be considered as single science parties, respectively. This implies that ESSAC will submit nominations for both Core Complex 1&2 in one batch. I am waiting for news on the (final) deadline for North Atlantic 2 nominations.

Location: DFG Research Center for Ocean Margins, Universität Bremen, Bremen, room 3020 (geology building; see { HYPERLINK "http://www.uni-bremen.de/uniplan" } or { HYPERLINK "http://www.rcom-bremen.de/English/IODPICDP_2004.html" }

Date, Time Tuesday, March 16th, 09:00 to 18:00 and Wednesday, March 17th, 18.00 to 18.45 (*present delegates)

Present:

Hans Brumsack*, delegate of Germany

Kathryn Gillis*, alternate of Canada

Angelo Camerlenghi, delegate of Italy

Gilbert Camoin*, delegate of France

Benoit Ildefonse*, alternate of France

Menchu Comas*, delegate of Spain

Kari Strand, delegate of Finland

Fernando J.A.S. Barriga, alternate of Portugal

Helmut Weissert*, alternate of Switzerland

Eve Arnold, delegate of Sweden

Rolf Pederson, delegate of Norway

Bryndis Brandsdottir*, alternate of Iceland

Jeroen Kenter*, ESSAC chair and delegate of The Netherlands

Chris MacLeod*, ESSAC co-chair and delegate of Unite Kingdom

Valentina Zampetti*, ESSAC science coordinator

Invited observers:

Herman-Rudolf Kudraß (Germany)

Catherine Mevel (EMA-France)

Patricia Maruejol (EMA-France)

Dan Evans (ESO-UK)

Andy Kingdon (UK)

Item 1 Opening remarks by Jeroen Kenter and Chris MacLeod

Kenter and MacLeod welcome the delegates to both Bremen and the second ESSAC meeting. The meeting starts with a round-the-table introduction of those present and the new science coordinator Valentina Zampetti. Kenter communicates that the ESSAC office will be fully active by May 1st 2004.

Item 2 Adoption of the Draft Agenda

2a Draft agenda 1st ESSAC Meeting (ESSAC2Min Encl01).

The draft agenda is approved.

Item 3 Minutes from previous ESSAC Meetings

3a ESSAC Meeting, 14-15 November 2003, Amsterdam

No comments are returned. However, Kenter suggests handling the discussion of the minutes from the previous ESSAC Meetings via e-mail.

Item 4 Minutes from previous ECORD Council meetings

4a ECORD Council meeting, 15 December, Paris

Mevel reports that ECORD is officially established, Spain officially joined and Greece is willing to join. However, Belgium, Ireland and Canada are still in doubt. Gillis replies that Canada hopes to have the final decision in two weeks. [note IODP membership was recently funded for a one year period only].

4b Draft memorandum of understanding between ECORD member countries - update

Mevel reports that the MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) is finalized and that this evening the official ceremony will be held, marking the formal incorporation of ECORD into IODP. However, Mevel specifies that Spain is not yet included in the ECORD partners listed in the MOU, because it was not able to join in time, the partner list will be updated soon.

Item 5 ESSAC Science Party Staffing

5a IODP non-riser drilling science summaries and updated schedule

Kenter shows the table summarizing the IODOP operations (see old enclosure 5). He suggests to label the operations using a specific code and to record it on the ECORD/ESSAC websites. Mevel highlights that in Paris, it was decided that a specific code for each platform will be used.

5B Invitations for Juan de Fuca (#545) and ACEX (#533)

Kenter explains that the staffing is now complete for ACEX and that the scientists for Juan de Fuca have been selected and the invitations will be mailed. He acknowledges that Nielsen's application was not considered because it was not received in time by the JOI-Alliance, the non-riser implementation organization (IO). Brumsack communicates that 3 German scientists will participate in Juan de Fuca. He points out the low number of applications and suggests that the delegates

encourage scientist participation. Arnold indicates that the ESCO system applications also used to be limited. Gillis wonders what pressure will be exercised by Japan and the U.S. in the ranking of the nominations. Evans replies that the U.S. will be allocated 14 berths and Japan 9. Kenter stresses that Juan de Fuca has too few participants. Barriga suggests filling the empty positions with scientists from the smaller countries that have been not selected because of quota balancing. Gillis points out that ECORD has a surplus of 2 scientists in ACEX; therefore it is expected to forfeit 2 berths in the subsequent operations. MacLeod and Barriga propose that nationality, and therefore quota balancing, is not to be taken into account in the case of substitutes. Kenter agrees, stressing that in case of substitutive scientists, this will not influence the country quota. Brumsack requires a clear rule and statement. Kenter responds that the staffing strategy has to be flexible. but that the decision has to go via the ESSAC community. Ildefonse raises the issue of on-shore scientists; he highlights that on-shore scientists apply almost exclusively for samples. Therefore, Kenter proposes to make the sample request form available on the ECORD-ESSAC websites. He adds that the availability of samples for the science community will not create conflicting situations because the scientists directly involved in the operations can benefit from the 1 year moratorium period. Comas and Ildefonse conclude by suggesting a clear regulation for sample requests recorded on the ESSAC-ECORD websites.

ECORD Science Party Invitations IODP Expedition Juan de Fuca

	Name	First	Country (work)	Nationality	Date of appl	Field of Expertise	Remark s
1	Bartetzko*	Anne	Germany	Germany	08/01/2004	Logging scientist; petrophysics; downhole measurements	
2	Coggon*	Rosalind	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	12/01/2004	Petrologist; metamorphic petrologist	
3	Dumont*	Marion	Sweden	France	18/02/2004	Organic Geochemist	
4	Engelen*	Bert	Germany	Germany	08/01/2004	Microbiologist	
5	Heuer*	Verena	Germany	Germany	08/01/2004	Inorganic/organic geochemist; hydrologist	
6	Steinsbu*	Bjoern Olav	Norway	Norway	03/02/2004	Microbiologist	

1	Brinkhuis	Henk	Netherlands	Netherlands	03/10/2003	Paleontologist (Dinoflagellates)	
2	Eynaud	Frédérique	France	France	22/01/2004	Paleontologist (Dinoflagellate)/(Forami nifer - Planktonic)	
3	Gattacceca	Jerome	France	France	19/01/2004	Geophysicist; paleomagnetist; stratigraphic correlator; structural geologist	
4	Jakobsson	Martin	Sweden	Sweden	19/01/2004	Geophysicist; Physical Properties Specialist; Geospatial Database and Geoscientific Data Integration Expert	
5	Kaminski	Michael	United Kingdom	USA	15/01/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Benthic)/(Foraminifer - Planktonic)	
6	Кос	Nalan	Norway	Turkey	19/01/2004	Paleontologist (Diatoms)	
7	Matthiessen	Jens Jurgen	Germany	Germany	08/01/2004	Paleontologist (Dinoflagellate); sedimentologist	
8	Pälike	Heiko	United Kingdom	Germany	25/11/2003	Hydrologist; Oceanographer; Physical Properties Specialist; Sedimentologist; Stratigrapic Correlator	
9	Rio	Domenico	Italy	Italy	18/01/2004	Paleontologist (Nannofossil); Sedimentologist	
10	Stein	Ruediger	Germany	Germany	08/01/2004	Sedimentologist; organic geochemist	
11	Jenkins	Hugh	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	16/01/2004	Sedimentologist; paleoceanographer	(1)

Notes: 1) Shore-based when basement reached only when basement reached only; 2) In bold shipboard and shore based Science Party members

Kenter shows the tables for the ACEX and Juan de Fuca nominations and explains, the temporarily consequences for the ECORD staffing balance.

5C ECORD staffing balance IODP Phase I (Raymond Schorno, Encl.)

Kenter summarizes the staffing balance up to and including the Juan de Fuca invitations and provides the delegates with an Excel spreadsheet that allows the user to "compare" the staffing with the funding ratios (ESSAC2Min Encl02).

5D IODP staffing balance IODP Phase I

No data provided. Will be provided at a later stage by IODP MI.

5E ECORD Applications for North Atlantic 1&2 (#572) and Core Complex 1&2 (#512)

ECORD Applications IODP Expeditions North Atlantic 1 & 2 - version 4.7 March 11 2004)

	Name	First	country work	Nationality	Applic.date	Field of expertise	Oper.
1	Bartoli	Gretta Linda	Germany	France	08/01/2004	Biologist, Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist, Stratigrapic Correlator	NA 1
2	Clarke	Leon John	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	28/02/2004	Inorganic Geochemist, Physical Properties Specialist, Sedimentologist, Stratigraphic Correlator	NA 1&2
3	Cleroux	Caroline	France	France	20/02/2004	Sedimentologist	NA 1
4	De Abreu	Lucia	United Kingdom	Portugal	09/03/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
5	de Vernal	Anne	Canada	Canada	03/12/2003	Paleontologist (Dinoflagellate), Palynologist	NA 1
6	Dinares- Turell	Jaume	Italy	Spain	13/01/2004	Logging Scientist, Paleomagnetist, Downhole Measurements	NA 1
7	Esmerode	Estela	Denmark	Spain	03/03/2004	Geophysicist, Oceanographer, Sedimentologist	NA 1
8	Ferretti	Patrizia	United Kingdom	Italy	03/10/2004	Physical Properties Specialist, Sedimentologist	NA 1&2
9	Frenz	Michael	Germany	Germany	16/01/2004	Sedimentologist	NA 1
10	Gruetzner	Jens	Germany	Germany	28/01/2004	Physical Properties Specialist; Stratigrapic Correlator	NA 2
11	Guyodo	Yohan Jean Bernard	France	France	23/02/2004	Paleomagnetist	NA 2
12	Hefter	Jens Norbert	Germany	Germany	26/01/2004	Organic geochemist	NA 2
13	Hoogakker	Babette	United Kingdom	Netherlands	10/03/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist	NA 1&2
14	Keller	Christina	Switzerlan d	Switzerland	07/11/2003		NA 1&2
15	Kuhlmann	Holger	Germany	Germany	16/02/2004	Sedimentologist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
16	Lanci	Luca	Italy	Italy	05/02/2004	Paleomagnetist	NA 1
17	Leigh	Sasha	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	10/03/2004	Sedimentologist	NA 1
18	Maiorano	Patrizia	Italy	Italy	30/01/2004	Paleontologist (Nannofossil)	NA 1
19	Mazaud	Alain	France	France	05/12/2003	Paleomagnetist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
20	Nielsen	Simon	Denmark	Danish????	05/03/2004	Logging Scientist, Paleontologist (Diatom), Paleontologist (Megafossil), Sedimentologist, Stratigrapic Correlator	NA 1&2
21	Riisager	Peter	Sweden	Denmark	12/03/2004	Paleomagnetist	NA 1
22	Romero	Oscar Enrice	Germany	Argentina	05/02/2004	Oceanograph, Paleontologist (diatm & Silicofl) biologist	NA 1
23	Schiebel	Ralf	Switzerlan d	Switzerland	30/10/2003	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Benthic), Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic)	NA 1
24	Sierro	Francisc o Javier	Spain	Spain	16/01/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic)	NA 1&2
25	St-Onge	Guillaum e	Canada	Canada&Fran ce	23/12/2003	Paleomagnetist, Physical Properties Specialist, Sedimentologist	NA 1
26	Voelker	Antje	Portugal	Germany	29/12/2003	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist	NA 1
27	Wastegård	Stefan	Sweden	Sweden	10/03/2004	Stratigraphic Correlator (tephrochronology)	NA 2
28	Wienberg	Claudia	Germany	Germany	05/02/2004	Physiccal properties specialist and Sedimentologist	NA 1

	Name	First	country work	Nationality	Applic.date	Field of expertise	Oper.
1	Andreani	Muriel	France	France	21/01/2004	Metamorphic Petrologist, Structural Geologist	CC 1&2
2	Ball	Philip	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	04/03/2004	Geophysicist, Structural Geologist (both key areas), Petroleum Geologist, Physical Properties Specialist, Downhole Measurements	CC 1&2; also Proposal 543, Installation of a CORK in Hole 642E
3	Brunelli	Daniele	France	Italy	26/12/2003	gneous Petrologist, Inorganic Geochemist	CC1or2
4	Bullock	Andrew	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	09/03/2004	Geophysicist, Physical Properties Specialist	CC 1&2
5	Delacour	Adélie	Switzerlan d	France	12/11/2003	norganic Geochemist, (igneous & metamorphic) Petrologist, Structural Geologist	CC 1&2
6	Escartin	Javier	France	Spain	09/12/2003	not listed	CC 2
7	Gardien	Véroniq ue	France	France	10/03/2004	Petrologist, Igneous Petrologist, Metamorphic Petrologist	CC 1&2
8	Godard	Marguer ite	France	Australia	12/02/2004	Igneous Petrologist, Inorganic Geochemist	CC 1&2
9	Hellebrand	Erik	Germany	Netherlands	01/02/2004	Igneous Petrologist	CC 1
10	Ildefonse	Benoit	France	France	09/01/2004	Logging Scientist, Physical Properties Specialist, Structural Geologist	CC 1&2
11	Jovanovic	Zoran	Austria	Serbia and Montenegro	29/03/2004	Petrologist, Igneous Petrologist	CC 1&2
12	McCaig	Andrew	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	12/03/2004	Metamorphic Petrologist, Structural Geologist	CC 1&2
13	Morris	Antony	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	04/03/2004	Paleomagnetist	CC 1
14	Searle	Roger	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	15/03/2004	Geophysicist, Physical Properties Specialist	CC 1 alternatively 2
15	Seyler	Moniqu e	France	France	09/01/2004	(Igneous&Metamorphic) Petrologist,	CC 1&2
16	Suhr	Guenter Robert	Germany	Germany	29/03/2004	Petrologist, Structural Geologist	CC 1 alternatively 2
17	von der Handt	Anette	Germany	Germany		(Igneous) Petrologist	CC 1 alternatively 2
18	Ball	Philip	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	04/03/2004	Geophysicist, Structural Geologist (both key areas), Petroleum Geologist, Physical Properties Specialist, Downhole Measurements	CC 1&2; also Proposal 543, Installation of a CORK in Hole 642E
19	Brunelli	Daniele	France	Italy	26/12/2003	Igneous Petrologist, Inorganic Geochemist	CC1or2
20	Bullock	Andrew	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	09/03/2004	Geophysicist, Physical Properties Specialist	CC 1&2

5F ECORD Nominations for North Atlantic 1&2 (#572) and Core Complex 1&2 (#512)

MacLeod lists the British applications for North Atlantic 1 & 2. Many participants did not specify which of the two North Atlantic operations they want to participate. According to MacLeod this is due to the imprecise proposal descriptions. He expects that this might generate problems for the ranking of both ESSAC nomination and the expertise of the applying scientists. He believes that more consistent and accurate descriptions of the operations need to be provided and recorded on the ESSAC-ECORD websites. Arnold proposes to identify the nominations on scientific skills. MacLeod points out that not all the scientists will be available at the time of a specific operation; therefore it is necessary to pay attention to "time-availability". Kenter shows the table of the applicants for North Atlantic 1 & 2 suggesting the examination of each candidate. Because of the time schedules for the operations, Kenter proposes to finalize the list for North Atlantic 1 and to leave the applications open for North Atlantic 2 and Core Complex 1 & 2 untill April 16th 2004. Consensus is returned, however Brumsack stresses the necessity to provide a list of the most suitable candidates based on scientific expertise. Kenter reminds the community that the balancing of quota needs to be respected as much as possible. Camoin wonders whether it is more efficient to list all the received applications or just the nominations selected by the countries. MacLeod responds that a transparent strategy is to show all the applications but, at the same time, to indicate the preferences. Gillis believes that it will be more effective to work directly with the selected nominations for each country. MacLeod promotes to involve also young and less known scientists in the operations. Ildefonse and Mevel recommend not nominating many scientists with the same field of expertise. MacLeod proposes to proceed with the nomination ranking by creating two distinct lists for North Atlantic 1 and North Atlantic 2. Holm is concerned about Master and PhD students' applications; Gillis is in favor for them applying. Ildefonse stresses that student applications need to be regulated. Participation of students needs to be encouraged, but ESSAC must require a document which states that a particular student is connected to a scientific structure (e.g. laboratory) which can guarantee scientific research and results. Kenter suggests involving students particularly in "low-pressure" expeditions. Arnold proposes to develop a policy for education on the ships for master students and teachers. Arnold and Kenter propose to discuss this topic under items 13B and 13C (education) and remind that science is the first priority. Kenter starts the ranking for North Atlantic nominations. Brumsack proposes to give more nominations than required, relying on the co-chiefs for the final decision. Mevel stresses that if there are too many candidates, smaller countries might encounter problems for nominations. Camoin believes that this would complicate the ranking and proposes ESSAC to filter the applications. Ildefonse suggests to list all of the received applications and to mark those selected using a "star-scale" system. Kenter states that participation for applicants belonging to the smaller countries will be guaranteed. Camerlenghi communicates that Italy will not contribute to the North Atlantic operations. Ildefonse proposes that the smaller countries with no scientists participating in the ACEX need to have preference in the ranking for the North Atlantic operations.

Consensus is returned regarding the decision to list all the applications and "star" the ESSAC selected nominations. The starred applicants from the smaller countries in one of the North Atlantic operations will be considered as a low priority for the other North Atlantic operation.

ECORD Nominations IODP Expedition North Atlantic

	Name	First	country work	Nationality	Applic.date	Field of expertise	Oper.
1	Bartoli	Gretta Linda	Germany	France	08/01/2004	Biologist, Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist, Stratigrapic Correlator	NA 1
2	Clarke	Leon John	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	28/02/2004	Inorganic Geochemist, Physical Properties Specialist, Sedimentologist, Stratigraphic Correlator	NA 1&2
3	De Abreu	Lucia	United Kingdom	Portugal	09/03/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
4	de Vernal	Anne	Canada	Canada	03/12/2003	Paleontologist (Dinoflagellate), Palynologist	NA 1
5	Dinares- Turell	Jaume	Italy	Spain	13/01/2004	Logging Scientist, Paleomagnetist, Downhole Measurements	NA 1
6	Esmerode	Estela	Denmark	Spain	03/03/2004	Geophysicist, Oceanographer, Sedimentologist	NA 1
7	Ferretti	Patrizia	United Kingdom	Italy	03/10/2004	Physical Properties Specialist, Sedimentologist	NA 1&2
8	Frenz	Michael	Germany	Germany	16/01/2004	Sedimentologist	NA 1
9	Hoogakker	Babette	United Kingdom	Netherlands	10/03/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic), Sedimentologist	NA 1&2
10	Kuhlmann	Holger	Germany	Germany	16/02/2004	Sedimentologist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
11	Leigh	Sasha	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	10/03/2004	Sedimentologist	NA1
12	Mazaud	Alain	France	France	05/12/2003	Paleomagnetist, Physical Properties Specialist	NA 1
13	Riisager	Peter	Sweden	Denmark	12/03/2004	Paleomagnetist	NA 1
14	Romero	Oscar Enrice	Germany	Argentina	05/02/2004	Oceanograph, Paleontologist (diatm & Silicofl) biologist	NA 1
15	Schiebel	Ralf	Switzerlan d	Switzerland	30/10/2003	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Benthic), Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic)	NA 1
16	Sierro	Francisc o Javier	Spain	Spain	16/01/2004	Paleontologist (Foraminifer - Planktonic)	NA 1&2

Notes: ESSAC proposes 9 invitations to the IO: 5 from the UK/Germany/France and 4 from the remaining countries; * (here in bold) identify ESSAC preferences and require discussion when not invited.

Gillis stresses that Canada has a prior interest, as a national topic, for North Atlantic 1 and states that Canada needs to participate to the operation in order to be supported by its funding agency. Weissert replies that it is difficult to give priorities to countries that have a minor quota, and that the Canadian situation is even more complicated because it did not yet contribute. Kenter outlines the nominations for North Atlantic 1 with regards to the countries and specialties. He points out the surplus of paleontologists, but Kudraß comments that many paleontologists are required as oceanography is the main topic of this operation.

5G ESSAC/USSAC/J-DESC discussion proposal for centralized Call for participation – update

No comments during meeting.

5H ECORD Invitations/Nominations for FY04-05 co-chiefs (Encl.)

ECORD-IODP Co-chief Invitations (as of 10 March 2004)

Name Country Lomonosov Ridge (#533) – ACEX Jan Backman Sweden

Core Complex I - Expedition Non-riser 3

Chris MacLeod UK

North Atlantic II – Expedition Non-riser 5

Rudiger Stein Germany

5I ESSAC Staffing: face-to-face vs. electronic and future staffing schedule (Empty)

No comments.

Item 6 ECORD delegates and alternates on the SAS panels

6A Mandates of SAS Panels (Encl.)

Kenter states not changes have, yet, been made (see enclosure).

6B ECORD members for ILP, SSP, and SPC (Encl.)

Kenter suggests that ITEMs 6B and –C need to be resolved this meeting because of the upcoming SPC meeting in Washington where ECORD nominations are expected. Gillis communicates that a change in the voting committee has been proposed. For each SAS panel, Japan and the U.S. have the rights of 7 voting members, meanwhile ECORD may have 3 voting members and 1 non-voting member. Kenter proposes that this rule is not taken into account for the upcoming meeting and also to nominate the members on based on their expertise rather than nationality. In addition, it is agreed to nominate additional scientists as "permanent" alternates. Especially for SPC one of those should attend the meetings to make sure that 4 member ECORD contingent is present at each meeting. Nominations for SPC, SSP and ILP were submitted last fall and are summarized below.

ECORD SAS Panel members - ILP

Name	Nationality	Period
Harry Doust	Netherlands	Oct03-Oct06
Philippe de Clarens	France	Feb04-Feb07
Heiko Möller	Germany	Feb04-Feb07
John Hogg	Canada	Oct03-Oct06
Alvaro Pinto**	Portugal	
Peter Jeans/Richard Davies**	UK	

^{**}permanent alternates

Name	Nationality	Period
Marc-André Gutscher	France	Oct03-Oct06
Carlota Escutia	Spain	Feb04-Feb07
Soenke Neben	Germany	Feb04-Feb07
Roger Searle	UK	Feb04-Feb07
Holger Lykke-Andersen**	Denmark	
Luca Gasperini/Michele Rebesco**	Italy	

^{**}permanent alternates

ECORD SAS Panel members - SPC

Name	Nationality	Period
Jeroen Kenter	NL	Oct03-Oct05
Chris MacLeod	UK	Oct03-Oct07
Benoit Ildefonse	France	Mar04-Mar07
Hans Brumsack	Germany	Mar04-Mar07
Kathy Gillis**	Canada	
Eve Arnold**	Sweden	
Jose Monteiro**	Portugal	

^{**}permanent alternates

6C1 Nominations for PPSP, SSEPs, SciMP, OPCOM, TAP (Encl. old 6C)

The fall 2003 nominations that were communicated by e-mail will be reviewed again under ITEM 6C2.

6C2 ECORD nominations (proposal) for PPSP, SSEPs, SciMP, OPCOM, TAP (Add Encl.)

Kenter proposes to nominate 4 names; MacLeod suggests 3 members as representatives of the bigger countries and 1 for the smaller ones. Kenter states that priority for these technical panels has to be given on the basis expertise and not nationality. Camoin proposes to involve and rotate young scientists in the panels, and to inform the alternates with the ongoing discussions in the panels. He finds it necessary that the alternates receive the official minutes (confidential proposal excluded) of the meetings. Kenter proposes not to charge the alternate position held by Gillis to Canada's quota. Gillis suggests providing clear rules for charging in alternates to country quotas. MacLeod believes that it is necessary to have a rose of rotating alternates in case of conflicting situations for proposal ranking. Kenter concludes by asserting that one (or more) permanent alternate will be nominated for each panel, the alternate positions will not be charged to the quota of the country and a substitute will be nominated if necessary. Gillis asks if Canada may be treated as an official member, even if it did not officially sign [Note: Canada recently got funded for one year period].

Kenter and MacLeod close the meeting and propose to convene again tomorrow, March 17th, at 18.00. Consensus is returned.

17th March:

Kenter shows the table for ECORD 2003-2007. He proposes Arnold and Gillis as permanent alternates. He outlines the rotation of alternates will only involve the smaller countries. Brumsack proposes to start the rotation in March 2004 in order to avoid rotation in all the technical panels, simultaneously. Kenter agrees. He also guarantees that the ESSAC office will check whether the official delegates can attend the panel meetings and in the case of non-attendance it will provide a replacing alternate. Consensus is returned for the SAS panel nominations.

The nominations were submitted during the SPC meeting in Washington (25 March) for the reason that it was decided to query the membership ratio and number for the service panels. It turned out that SPC supports flexibility and leave sit up to the co-chairs to invite additional scientists from US, Japan and/or ECORD. The notes below were included with the nominations:

General remarks: 1) Canadian members provisionally until funding decision (end March at the latest); 2) * Chair and Vice-Chair; 3) ** Permanent alternates and/or additional members if selected by (co-)chairs - we assume that invitations by the (co-)chair(s) will follow the flexibility towards the 7/7/3+1 membership ratio as worded in the consensus motion by SPC in Washington on March 25 2004. CVs missing for Peter Jeans and Richard Davies (ILP)

ECORD SAS Panel members nominations - PPSP

Name	Nationality	Period
Jean Mascle	France	Oct03-Oct06
Dieter Strack	Germany	Oct03-Oct06
Bramley Murton	UK	Jun04-Jun07
Martin Hovland	Norway	Oct03-Oct06
Neil DeSilva**	Canada	

^{**}permanent alternates

ECORD SAS Panel members nominations - ESSEP

Name	Nationality	Period	Replaced by	Nationality	Period
Gilbert Camoin*	France	Oct03-Oct06			
Jürgen Thurow	UK	Oct03-Oct06			
Rüdiger Stein	Germany	Mar04-Mar07			
Helmut Weissert	Switzerland	May04-Jan05	Jan Backman	Sweden	Jan05
Elisabetta Erba**	Italy				
Francesca Martinez- Ruiz**	Spain				

^{**}permanent alternates

ECORD SAS Panel members nominations - ISSEP

Name	Nationality	Period	Replaced by	Nationality	Period
Damon Teagle	UK	Oct03-Oct06			
Pierre Henry	France	Oct03-Oct06	Javier Escartin	France	Jan06
Jörg Erzinger	Germany	Mar04-Mar07			
Rolf-Birger Pedersen	Norway	Oct03-Jun05	Jens Konnerup- Madsen	Denmark	Jun05
Gretchen Früh-Green**	Switzerland				
Luis Pinheiro	Portugal				
Dominique Weis	Canada				

^{**}permanent alternates

Name	Nationality	Period	Replaced by			Remarks
Mike Lovell	UK	Oct03-Oct06				
Javier Escartin	France	Oct03-Sep04	Christophe Basile	France	Sep04	
Heinrich Villinger	Germany	Jun04-Jun07				
Annakaisa Korja	Finland	Jun04-Jun07				
Silvia Spezzaferri**	Switzerland					
Douglas Schmitt**	Canada					

^{**}permanent alternates

ECORD SAS Panel members nominations - TAP

Name	Nationality	Period	Remarks
Peter Schultheiss	UK	Apr04-Apr07	
Axel Sperber*	Germany	Oct03-Oct06	
Herman Zuidberg	Netherlands	Apr04-Apr07	
Erik Nygaard	Norway	Apr04-Apr07	
Tim Francis**	UK		

^{**}permanent alternates

Kenter highlights the item concerning the hosting of meetings. MacLeod reports that in the ECORD meeting of 16th of March, ECORD offered financial support for the host country. Comas proposes Barcelona as a possible location for the coming (June 14th-17th) meeting.

Due to time limitations, only a limited number of the following items were discussed during the meeting.

6D ECORD SAS Panel membership Balance

Enclosed is a rather complex spreadsheet that allows the comparison of the number of SAS panel members with the national funding level (ESSAC2Min Encl03). The spreadsheet is up to date with the existing members for SPC, ILP and SSP as well as the nominations for the remaining panels (ITEM 6B). However, only when ESSAC receives the final invitations the spreadsheet can be finalized.

6E ECORD position and rules on SAS panel alternates (Empty)

See comments under ITEM 6C2.

Item 7 News on Science Planning Committee (SPC) Activities

Most of the items following were deferred to the next ESSAC Meeting in September. Only comments where needed.

7A Minutes SPC Sapporo 03 and 2nd Agenda Book SPC Washington March 04

The Executive Summary for the SPC Washington meeting is available (ESSAC2Min Encl04).

7B General urgent SPC issues (Encl.)

7C1 SAS conflict-of-interest policy pertaining to SPC and SSEPs (SPPOC Consensus 03-12-13)

Recent Conflict of Interest issue that affected most of the SSEPs panel members was withdrawn. As a consequence a special SPPOC Working Group was assigned the task to come up with a new COI strategy. This WG (See item 7C2) will meet in Japan the 23rd of April and Benoit Ildefonse is representing ECORD.

- 7C2 Conflict of Interest SPPOC Ad hoc Committee-2 (SPPOC Consensus 03-12-07)
- 7D SAS panel membership: IODP member ratios and co-chair issue
- 7E Co-chief Nominations: IODP member ratios
- 7F IODP Council Presentation Coffin&Austin

Item 8 News on IODP Science Steering & Evaluation Panel Activities (Camoin)

- 8A Draft reports SSEPs meeting, 22-25 May, 2003; Niigata Japan
- 8B Draft agenda and members SSEPs meeting Granada, May 17-20, 200

Comas confirms that University of Grenada is hosting the meeting.

8C ECORD scientists on active IODP proposals (Gilbert Camoin, Encl.)

Gilbert Camoin presented this ITEM and the presentation is attached as PDF file (ESSAC2Min Encl05). Ildefonse adds that he will make available in English the French presentation about the ESSAC facilities.

8D New IODP proposal submission guidelines ({ HYPERLINK "http://www.isas-office.jp/" })

Item 9 News on IODP Service Panels Activities

- 9A IODP SAS Panel meeting schedule
- 9B Draft report ILP meeting, 22-23 February 2004; Houston, US
- 9C Draft report PPSP meeting, 15-17 December 2003, Nagasaki, Japan
- 9D Draft report SciMP meeting, 15-18 December 2003, Nagasaki, Japan
- 9E Draft report SSP meeting, 11-13 February 2004, Tokyo, Japan
- 9F Draft report TAP meeting, 21-22 February 2003; Amsterdam, Netherlands

Item 10 JEODI activities

10A Draft Final JEODI TN Work Package Report - WP2 update

Item 11 ESO activities

11A Report on ACEX project (Dan Evans)

Evans presentation is available (ESSAC2Min Encl06).

- 11B Update on future MSP projects (Dan Evans)
- 11C ACEX Outreach activities In Confidence (Andy Kingdon)

The Outreach Activities for ACEX have been extensively discussed outside the ESSAC Meeting and will be regarded confidential until further notice.

Item 12 News on IODP MI and SPOCC

- 12A Science Policy Planning and Oversight Committee (SPPOC; the former EXCOM)

 Mandate
- 12B Executive Summary SPPOC, 5-6 December 2003, San Francisco, USA
- 12C Draft report IOs Meeting #2, 27-28 February 2004, Edinburgh, Scotland
- 12D ESSAC Nominations for SPPOC
- 12E IODP IMI Manik Talwani presentation Austin February 2004

Item 13 ESSAC Working Groups

13A ESSAC draft report on IODP Publications

The ESSAC WG on Publications submitted their draft report on February 15 which was subsequently forwarded to Ken Miller (SPC Publications WG) and discussed at SPC Washington.

13B ESSAC draft report on Education & Outreach (Eve Arnold)

Arnold proposes that more attention is paid towards education, a policy that is better developed in the U.S. She suggests organizing courses on IODP science for PhD students in different European countries, favoring student exchanges, thesis funding and promoting PhD thesis awards. She asks that the ESSAC community involves teachers, offering them the possibility to participate with operations. Gillis believes that it is better to involve students rather than teachers. But MacLeod, Kudraß and Ildefonse indicate that press involvement would be more outreaching. Mevel stressed that a teacher is part of an education program and cannot be compared with a journalist. Arnold stresses that last minute substitutive positions might be a good opportunity to involve Universities and Colleges in IODP. Camerlenghi points out the economical costs for extra people onboard, and suggests instead inviting teachers and students to the meetings. General consensus is returned to the proposal of using substitutive positions for the purposes of education and outreaching.

13C Draft report on Education & Outreach workshop, February 20-24, 2004, Austin, Texas – In Confidence

See under ITEM 13B.

13D ESSAC WG on Down Hole Tools (DHTs) - Workshop on "Autonomous Downhole Tools in the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program: Goals, Techniques, Needs, and Strategies for Development" May 24, 25, 2004 Washington, D.C.

Item 14 ESSAC Science workshops and conferences

14A IODP Conference in Greece in spring 2004 - update

The Greek meeting has been deferred since Dimitris Sakellariou has not received any information yet from the Greek General Secretariat for Research&Technology regarding the ECORD/IODP workshop proposal that he submitted last fall.

14B IODP-ICDP Euroform Conference, 17-19 March 2004, Bremen, Germany – update

Item 15 ESSAC Business various

- 15A Minutes of the U.S. Science Advisory Committee Meeting (USSAC), 21-23 January 2004
- 15B Minutes of J-DESC http://www.aesto.or.jp/j-desc/index.html
- 15C ESSAC and ECORD website

It has been discussed to have only one portal to ECORD which would be the EMA site recently updated by Patricia Maruejol (EMA-France). Patricia and Valentina Zampetti will work together and host the ESSAC website under the official ECORD site.

15D ESSAC and ECORD Newsletters (Encl.)

Recently a 2nd ECORD Newsletter has been generated and distributed during the meeting. An electronic version is enclosed (ESSAC2Min Encl07). The ESSAC newsletter is suggested to be electronic and part of the ESSAC website. Unclear is who will maintain this part of the website and the discussion was deferred to the next ESSAC meeting.

- 15E Funding for Distinguished Lecturer Series (like USSAC) and ESSAC panel meetings
- 15F ESSAC Office science community database of addresses
- 15G CLORA ESF RFP for workshops in 2005 (deadline May 1st 2004)

This item raises an important responsibility of ESSAC: the generation of proposals funding important ECORD science (support) projects. During the ECORD Council meeting a suggestion was made that ESSAC should submit a EUROCORES for European Collaboration for Ocean Survey Science (EuroCOSS). However, the deadline was 6 April and there was no realistic way that ESSAC could embark on this. Another initiative was proposed by Mevel (ITEM 15G) and ESSAC will take the initiative to nominate a Working Group to investigate these and other opportunities in the very near future.

15H Chikyu MOHO capability – ECORD community scientific justification – WG?

Item 16 Upcoming Meetings

List of relevant upcoming meetings:

2004

AAPG European Region Conference with GSA, 10-13 October 10-13, Prague

32nd International Geological Congress (32IGC), 20-28 August, Florence, Italy

SPC #4 16-18 August 2004 Corvallis, OR, USA

SSP #2 2-4 August 2004 Palisades, NY, USA

SPPOC #2 7-9 July 2004 Europe

TAP #1 28-30 June 2004 Nagasaki, Japan

SciMP #2 23-25 June 2004 Boston, MA, USA

PPSP #2 21-22 June 2004 College Station, TX, USA

SPC #3 14-17 June 2004, Yokohama, Japan

SSEPs #2 17-20 May 2004, Granada, Spain

EGU, Nice, 25-30 April, Nice, France

AAPG/SEPM, 18-21 April, Dallas, TX USA

Item 17 Miscellaneous (Empty)

No comments.

Item 18 Date and Place of the Next Meeting (Empty)

Next meeting hosted by Benoit Ildefonse, Aix en Provence, September 23 th -26th 2004

Than the meeting is adjourned and the remaining agenda items will have to be dealt with electronically or deferred to the next ESSAC Meeting. We will keep you informed on this.